arXiv:1702.04095v1 [math.PR] 14 Feb 2017

Inverse local time of one-dimensional diffusions and its comparison theorem

Zhen-Qing Chen and Lidan Wang

February 15, 2017

Abstract

In this paper, we study inverse local time at 0 of one-dimensional reflected diffusions on $[0, \infty)$, and establish a comparison principle for inverse local times. Applications to Green function estimates for non-local operators are given.

AMS 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 60J55, 60J75; Secondary 60J75, 60H10

Keywords and phrases: diffusion, local time, inverse local time, subordinator, Lévy measure, non-local operator, Esscher transform, Girsanov transform, comparison theorem, Green function estimate

1 Introduction

It is well known that the trace of Brownian motion in $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ (or reflected Brownian motion in the upper half space $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}_+ := \{x = (x_1, \ldots, x_d, x_{d+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1} : x_{d+1} > 0\}$) on the hyperplane $\{x_{d+1} = 0\}$ is a d-dimensional Cauchy process. Molchanov and Ostrovski [15] in 1969 showed that in fact any rotationally symmetric α-stable process on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $0 < \alpha < 2$ can be realized as the boundary trace on $\{x_{d+1} = 0\}$ of some reflected diffusion in the upper half space $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}_+$. In terms of the generators, Molchanov and Ostrovski's result says that the fractional Laplacian $\Delta^{\alpha/2} := -(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ can be realized as the boundary trace of some (degenerate) differential operator in upper half space of one-dimensional higher. The latter fact is rediscovered by Caffarelli and Silvestre [3] in 2007 using a purely analytic approach. Realizing non-local operators as boundary trace of some differential operators is a powerful way to study non-local operators from analytic point of view as one can employ many well developed techniques and ideas from partial differential equations (PDE). It is a natural and interesting question to investigate the scope of non-local operators that can be realized as the boundary trace of differential operators.

Note that rotationally symmetric stable process has the same distribution as Brownian motion time-changed by an independent stable subordinator. So the key to Molchanov and Ostrovski's result is to show that $(\alpha/2)$-stable subordinator can be realzed as the inverse

local time at 0 of some one-dimensional reflected diffusion on $[0,\infty)$. Indeed, Molchanov-Ostrovski [15] showed that for $0<\alpha<1$, α-stable subordinator can be realized as the inverse local time of a reflecting Bessel process on $[0,\infty)$ determined locally by the generator

$$\mathcal{L}^{(\alpha)}=\frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2}{dx^2}+\frac{1-2\alpha}{2x}\frac{d}{dx}. \tag{1.1}$$

The class of subordinate Brownian motions that can be realized as boundary traces of diffusion processes in upper half space of one-dimensional higher is in one-to-one correspondence with the class of subordinators that can be realized as the inverse local time at 0 of some reflected diffusions on the half line $[0,\infty)$. The latter is exactly a question raised in Itô-McKean [11] called Krein representation problem. This problem remains open. However, Knight [12] and Kotani-Watanabe [13] showed independently in 1981-1982 that if one relaxes $\mathbb{R}_+$-valued diffusions to gap diffusions (a family of Markov processes having possibly discontinuous trajactories), then the answer is affirmative. It is a general fact that the inverse local time of a Markov process as a point having positive capacity is always a subordinator, that is, a non-decreasing real-valued Lévy process.

Relativistic Cauchy process in $\mathbb{R}^d$ (also called relativistic Brownian motion in the study of relativistic Hamiltonian system in physics [4]) is a subordinate Brownian motion X_t characterized by

$$\mathbb{E}e^{i\xi\cdot(X_t-X_0)}=e^{t\left(\sqrt{m}-\sqrt{m+|\xi|^2}\right)},\quad \xi\in\mathbb{R}^d,$$

where $m>0$ stands for the mass of the particle. The infinitesimal generator of X_t is $\sqrt{m}-\sqrt{m-\Delta}$. It is not hard to see that the inverse local time at 0 of the reflected Brownian motion with downward constant drift $\sqrt{2m}$ on $[0,\infty)$ is a subordinator S_t with $S_0=0$ and

$$\mathbb{E}e^{-\lambda S_t}=e^{t\left(\sqrt{m}-\sqrt{m+\lambda}\right)},\quad \lambda\geq 0.$$

Hence the relativisitc Cauchy process on $\mathbb{R}^d$ can be regarded as the boundary trace on $\{x_{d+1}=0\}$ in the upper half space of $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ where the vertical motion in the x_{d+1} direction is a Brownian motion with downward constant drift while the horizontal motion is an independent Brownian motion in $\mathbb{R}^d$. However for general $\alpha\neq 1/2$, relativistic α-stable processes can not be simply realized, by analogy with rotationally symmetric stable processes, as a diffusion in the upper half space of one-dimensional high whose vertical motion is a Bessel process with constant drift.

By using Esscher transform, Martin and Yor[6] showed that relativistic α-subordinator for $0<\alpha<1$ is in fact the inverse local time at 0 of the reflected diffusion on $[0,\infty)$ determined by generator

$$\mathcal{L}^{(\alpha,m)}=\frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2}{dx^2}+\left(\frac{1-2\alpha}{2x}+\frac{\widehat{K}'_\alpha(\sqrt{2m}x)}{\widehat{K}_\alpha(\sqrt{2m}x)}\right)\frac{d}{dx}, \tag{1.2}$$

where $\widehat{K}(x)=x^\alpha K_\alpha(x)$ and $K_\alpha(x)$ is the modified Bessel function of the second kind defined in (4.4).

In this paper, we set out a modest goal to investigate properties of the inverse local time at 0 of reflected diffusions on $[0,\infty)$ with infinitesimal generator of the form

$$\mathcal{L}=\frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2}{dx^2}+\left(\frac{1-2\alpha}{2x}-f(x)\right)\frac{d}{dx}, \tag{1.3}$$

where $f \geq 0$ is a function on $(0,\infty)$, and the corresponding subordinate Brownian motions.

For two functions f and g, notation $f \lesssim g$ means there is a constant $c > 0$ so that $f \leq cg$. The following are the main results of this paper.

Theorem 1.1. *Let Y_t be the reflected diffusion process on $[0,\infty)$ determined by the local generator of the form (1.3) with*

$$0 \leq f(x) \lesssim (1 \wedge x)^{2\alpha-1} \quad \text{on } (0,\infty).$$

Let S_t be the inverse local time of Y_t at 0. Then there is a constant $m > 0$ so that stochastically $S_t^{(\alpha,m)} \leq S_t \leq S_t^{(\alpha)}$ for all $t \geq 0$, where $S_t^{(\alpha)}$ and $S_t^{(\alpha,m)}$ are the α-stable subordinator and relativistic α-stable subordinator with mass m, respectively.

As an application, we have the following Green function estimates for the trace processes of diffusion processes in $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ whose vertical x_{d+1}-coordinate is a reflected diffusion on $[0,\infty)$ with infinitesimal generator (1.3), and the horizontal direction is an independent Brownian motion in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

Theorem 1.2. *Under the setting of Theorem 1.1, let B_t be a d-dimensional Brownian motion independent of Y_t with variance $2t$, and $\mu(x)$ be the density of the Lévy measure of trace process B_{S_t}. Denote the density of the Lévy measure of symmetric 2α-stable process by $\mu^{(\alpha)}(x)$. Then $j(x) := \mu^{(\alpha)}(x) - \mu(x) \geq 0$, and there exists a constant C such that for $|x| \leq 1$,*

$$j(x) \leq C|x|^{2-2\alpha-d}.$$

Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a bounded connected Lipschitz open set. Denote Green functions of the trace process B_{S_t} in D by $G_D(x,y)$. Then there exists a constant $C_1 = C_1(d,\alpha,D,C)$ such that

$$C_1^{-1} G_D^{(2\alpha)}(x,y) \leq G_D(x,y) \leq C_1 G_D^{(2\alpha)}(x,y) \text{ for } x,y \in D,$$

where $G_D^{(2\alpha)}$ is the Green function of rotationally symmetric (2α)-stable process, or equivalently of the fractional Laplacian Δ^α, in D.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Esscher transform (an Economics terminology) and Girsanov transform for reflected diffusions on $[0,\infty)$ are discussed in Section 2. This extends and refines the corresponding part of Martin-Yor [6] with a more complete and rigorous proof. In Section 3, we present a key comparison result for the inverse local times at 0 for reflected diffusion processes on $[0,\infty)$ and their corresponding Lévy measures. Hausdorff measure of zero sets and Girsanov transform are the main tools to get this result. Regenerative embedding theory for subordinators are also used. With the comparison result obtained in Section 3, Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are established in Section 4.

2 Esscher transforms

Recall that the Laplace exponent and Lévy measure for α-stable subordinator, where $0 < \alpha < 1$, are $\phi^{(\alpha)}(\lambda) = c_\alpha \lambda^\alpha$ and $\nu^{(\alpha)}(dx) := c_\alpha x^{-1-\alpha} dx$, where $c_\alpha = \alpha/\Gamma(1-\alpha)$; while that

for relativisitic α-stable subordinator with mass $m > 0$ are $\phi^{(\alpha,m)}(\lambda) = c_\alpha[(m+\lambda)^\alpha - m^\alpha]$ and $\nu^{(\alpha,m)}(dx) := c_\alpha x^{-1-\alpha} e^{-mx} dx$.

Fix $0 < \alpha < 1$. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space on which a reflected Bessel process Y_t on $[0,\infty)$ with generator (1.1) is defined. The filtration generated by Y_t will be denoted as $\{\mathcal{F}_t; t \geq 0\}$. Let L_t be the local time of Y at 0, and

$$S_t := \inf\{s > 0 : L_s > t\},$$

the inverse of L, which is a stopping time with respect to the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t; t \geq 0\}$. We know that S_t is an α-stable subordinator. We can define a new probability measure $\mathbb{Q}$ on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ by

$$\frac{\mathbb{Q}(dx)}{\mathbb{P}(dx)} = \frac{e^{-mx}}{\mathbb{E}_0[\exp(-mS_t]} = \exp(tc_\alpha m^\alpha - mx) \quad \text{ on } \mathcal{F}_{S_t}. \tag{2.1}$$

This change of measure is called Esscher transform in literature (see Chapter VII, 3c, [8]). Note that under the new probability measure $\mathbb{Q}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[\exp(-\lambda S_t] &= \exp(tc_\alpha m^\alpha)\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[\exp(-(\lambda+m)S_t] \\ &= \exp(tc_\alpha m^\alpha - t\phi^{(\alpha)(\lambda+m)}) \\ &= \exp(-t\phi^{(\alpha,m)}(\lambda)).\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

In other words, under $\mathbb{Q}$, $\{S_t; t \geq 0\}$ is a relativistic α-stable subordinator with mass m.

We now extend the above Esscher transform to general one-dimensional diffusions.

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose that X_t is a reflected diffusion process on $[0,\infty)$ defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, determined locally by the generator*

$$\mathcal{L} = a(x)\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + b(x)\frac{d}{dx}.$$

Let L_t be the local time of X_t at 0, and $S_t = \inf\{s : L_s > t\}$ its inverse local time at 0, which is a subordinator. Denote by $\phi(\lambda)$ the Laplace exponent of $\{S_t; t \geq 0\}$. Define

$$\frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}} := \frac{\exp(-mS_t)}{\mathbb{E}[\exp(-mS_t)]} \quad \text{on } \mathcal{F}_{S_t},\ t \geq 0. \tag{2.3}$$

Then

(i) (2.3) *defines a new measure $\mathbb{Q}$ on $\mathcal{F}_\infty$ in a consistent way;*

(ii) *Under $\mathbb{Q}$, the original diffusion X, write as $X^{(m)}$ for emphasis, is a reflected diffusion on $[0,\infty)$ having generator*

$$\mathcal{L}^{(m)} = \mathcal{L} + 2a(x)\frac{\rho_m'(x)}{\rho_m(x)}\frac{d}{dx},\ x > 0,$$

where $\rho_m(x) := \mathbb{E}_x[\exp(-mT_0)]$ and T_0 is the first hitting time of 0 by the process X_t.

(iii) *Denote by* $S_t^{(m)}$ *the inverse local time of* $X_t^{(m)}$ *at* 0 *and* $\phi^{(m)}(\lambda)$ *the Laplace exponent for subordinator* $S_t^{(m)}$*. Then we have*

$$\phi^{(m)}(\lambda) = \phi(\lambda + m) - \phi(m).$$

Proof. The definition (2.3) gives

$$M_{s,t} := \left.\frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}}\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{s\wedge S_t}} = \frac{\mathbb{E}[\exp(-mS_t)|\mathcal{F}_{s\wedge S_t}]}{\mathbb{E}[\exp(-mS_t)]}. \tag{2.4}$$

To see the consistency, we observe for $r \le t$, since S_t is a subordinator,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left.\frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}}\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{s\wedge S_r}} =& \left.\frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}}\right|_{\mathcal{F}_{s\wedge S_r\wedge S_t}} = \frac{\mathbb{E}[\exp(-mS_t)|\mathcal{F}_{s\wedge S_r\wedge S_t}]}{\mathbb{E}[\exp(-mS_t)]} \\
=& \frac{\mathbb{E}[\exp(-mS_t)|\mathcal{F}_{s\wedge S_r}]}{\mathbb{E}[\exp(-mS_t)]} \\
=& \frac{\mathbb{E}[\exp(-mS_r)\exp(-m(S_t - S_r))|\mathcal{F}_{s\wedge S_r}]}{\mathbb{E}[\exp(-mS_r)\exp(-m(S_t - S_r)]} \\
=& \frac{\mathbb{E}[\exp(-m(S_t - S_r))]\mathbb{E}[\exp(-mS_r)|\mathcal{F}_{s\wedge S_r}]}{\mathbb{E}[\exp(-m(S_t - S_r))]\mathbb{E}[\exp(-mS_r)]} \\
=& \frac{\mathbb{E}[\exp(-mS_r)|\mathcal{F}_{s\wedge S_r}]}{\mathbb{E}[\exp(-mS_r)]}
\end{aligned}$$

To see uniform integrability, we first have the decomposition of the inverse local time at 0, since $S_0 = T_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
S_t \text{ under } \mathbb{P} =& \inf\{s : L_s > t \text{ with } X_0 = x\} \\
=& \inf\{s : s = T_0 + r, L_{T_0} + L_r \circ \theta_{T_0} > t \text{ with } X_0 = x\} \\
=& T_0 + \inf\{r : L_r \circ \theta_{T_0} > t \text{ with } X_0 = x\} \\
=& T_0 + \inf\{r : L_r > t \text{ with } X_0 = 0\} \\
=& T_0 + S_t \text{ under } \mathbb{P}_0
\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

With the decomposition,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[\exp(-mS_t)] =& \mathbb{E}[e^{-mT_0}]\mathbb{E}_0[e^{-mS_t}] \\
=& \rho_m(x)\mathbb{E}_0[e^{-mS_t}] \\
=& \rho_m(x)\exp[-t\phi(m)]
\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{1}_{\{s\le S_t\}}\mathbb{E}[e^{-mS_t}|\mathcal{F}_s] =& \mathbf{1}_{\{s\le S_t\}}\mathbb{E}[e^{-mS_t}|\mathcal{F}_s] \\
=& \mathbf{1}_{\{s\le S_t\}}e^{-ms}\mathbb{E}_{X_s}[\exp(-mS_{t-r})]|_{r=L_s}
\end{aligned}$$

The last equation holds because on $\{s \le S_t\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} S_t =& \inf\{r+s : L_{r+s} > t\} = s + \inf\{r : L_s + L_r \circ \theta_s > t\} \\ =& s + \inf\{r : L_r \circ \theta_s > t - L_s\} \\ =& s + S_{t-r} \circ \theta_s|_{r=L_s}. \end{aligned}$$

Now using (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{X_s}[\exp(-mS_{t-r})]|_{r=L_s} =& \rho_m(X_s)\mathbb{E}_0[\exp(-mS_{t-r})]|_{r=L_s} \\ =& \rho_m(X_s)\exp(-(t-r)\phi(m))|_{r=L_s} \\ =& \rho_m(X_s)\exp(-(t-L_s)\phi(m)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, restricted to $\mathcal{F}_{s\wedge S_t}$,

$$\begin{aligned} M_{s,t} =& \frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}}\bigg|_{\mathcal{F}_{s\wedge S_t}} = \mathbf{1}_{\{s\le S_t\}}\frac{\mathbb{E}[\exp(-mS_t)|\mathcal{F}_s]}{\mathbb{E}[\exp(-mS_t)]} + \mathbf{1}_{\{s>S_t\}}\frac{\exp(-mS_t)}{\mathbb{E}[\exp(-mS_t)]} \\ =& \mathbf{1}_{\{s\le S_t\}}\frac{e^{-ms}\rho_m(X_s)\exp(-(t-L_s)\phi(m))}{\rho_m(x)\exp(-t\phi(m))} + \mathbf{1}_{\{s>S_t\}}\frac{\exp(-mS_t)}{\mathbb{E}[\exp(-mS_t)]} \\ =& \mathbf{1}_{\{s\le S_t\}}\frac{\rho_m(X_s)}{\rho_m(x)}\exp(-ms + L_s\phi(m)) + \mathbf{1}_{\{s>S_t\}}\frac{\exp(-mS_t)}{\mathbb{E}[\exp(-mS_t)]} \end{aligned}$$

As $t\to\infty$, $M_{s,t}\to M_s$, a.s. and

$$M_s := \frac{\rho_m(X_s)}{\rho_m(x)}\exp(-ms + L_s\phi(m)).$$

It's obvious that $M_s \in \mathcal{F}_s$. Also, from the original definition of $M_{s,t}$ in (2.4), for any s,t, $\mathbb{E}M_{s,t}\le 1$, so by Fatou's lemma,

$$\mathbb{E}M_s \le \liminf \mathbb{E}M_{s,t} \le 1.$$

Thus, $M_s \in L^1$, and on the other hand, with $\mathcal{F}_{s\wedge S_t}\subset\mathcal{F}_s$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[M_s|\mathcal{F}_{s\wedge S_t}] =& \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\rho_m(X_s)}{\rho_m(x)}\exp(-ms+L_s\phi(m))\Big|\mathcal{F}_{s\wedge S_t}\right] \\ =& \mathbf{1}_{\{s\le S_t\}}\frac{\rho_m(X_s)}{\rho_m(x)}\exp(-ms+L_s\phi(m)) + \mathbf{1}_{\{s>S_t\}}\frac{\rho_m(0)}{\rho_m(x)}\exp(-mS_t + t\phi(m)]) \\ =& \mathbf{1}_{\{s\le S_t\}}\frac{\rho_m(X_s)}{\rho_m(x)}\exp(-ms+L_s\phi(m)) + \mathbf{1}_{\{s>S_t\}}\frac{\exp(-mS_t)}{\mathbb{E}(\exp(-mS_t))} \\ =& M_{s,t}, \end{aligned}$$

the second to the last equality comes from $\rho_m(0)=1$ and (2.6). Thus $\{M_{s,t}=\mathbb{E}[M_s|\mathcal{F}_{s\wedge S_t}]\}_{t\ge 0}$ is uniformly integrable. Taking $t\to\infty$ yields

$$\frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}}\bigg|_{\mathcal{F}_s} = \frac{\rho_m(X_s)}{\rho_m(x)}\exp(-ms+L_s\phi(m)). \tag{2.7}$$

The above is a combination of Doob's h-transform and a Feynman-Kac transform by local time L_t. It follows that for $x > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}^{(m)} f(x) =& \rho_m^{-1}(x)(\mathcal{L} - m)(\rho_m \cdot f)(x) \\ =& \mathcal{L}f(x) + 2a(x)\frac{\rho_m'(x)}{\rho_m(x)} f'(x) + \rho_m^{-1}(x)(\mathcal{L} - m)(\rho_m)(x) f(x)\end{aligned}$$

Since $\rho_m(x)$ satisfies $(\mathcal{L} - m)\rho_m(x) = 0$, under the new measure $\mathbb{Q}$, the diffusion process X_t is a reflected diffusion on $[0, \infty)$ with generator

$$\mathcal{L}^{(m)} = \mathcal{L} + 2a(x)\frac{\rho_m'(x)}{\rho_m(x)}\frac{d}{dx}, \text{ for } x > 0.$$

By (2.3), for every $\lambda > 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}} e^{-\lambda S_t} = \frac{\mathbb{E}e^{-(\lambda+m)S_t}}{\exp(-t\phi(m))} = \exp\{-t(\phi(\lambda + m) - \phi(m))\}.$$

This proves that the Laplace exponent of $S_t^{(m)}$ is $\phi_m(\lambda) = \phi(\lambda + m) - \phi(m)$. □

Remark 2.2. By Feymann-Kac transformation, $\rho_m(x) = \mathbb{E}[\exp(-mT_0)]$ is the unique solution to

$$\begin{cases}(\mathcal{L} - m)\rho_m = 0; \\ \rho_m(0) = 1,\ \rho_m(\infty) = 0.\end{cases}$$

3 Comparison theorem for inverse local time

Let X_t and Y_t be reflected diffusion processes on $[0, \infty)$ defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ and driven by a common Brownian motion, whose generators are

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}^X &= a(x)\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + b(x)\frac{d}{dx}; \\ \mathcal{L}^Y &= a(x)\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + B(x)\frac{d}{dx}.\end{aligned}$$

Denote by Z^X and Z^Y the zero sets for X and Y respectively; that is,

$$Z^X := \{t \in [0, \infty) : X_t = 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad Z^Y := \{t \in [0, \infty) : Y_t = 0\}.$$

These are random closed subsets of $[0, \infty)$, and are regenerate (also called Markov) sets in the sense of Maisonneuve (cf [2]).

Lemma 3.1. *Let S_t^X and S_t^Y be inverse local times at 0 for X_t, Y_t, whose Laplace exponents are denoted by $\phi^X(\lambda)$, $\phi^Y(\lambda)$, respectively. Suppose that $b(x) \le B(x)$ for all x. Then ϕ^Y/ϕ^X is a completely monotone function.*

Proof. If $X_0 \le Y_0$, then by the comparison theorem for one-dimensional diffusions (see, e.g., [1, Theorem I.6.2]), we have, almost surely, $X_t \le Y_t$ for all $t \ge 0$. Consequently,

$$Z^Y \subset Z^X, \ \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.} \tag{3.1}$$

Note that S_t^X and S_t^Y are the subordinators associated with the regenerative sets Z^X and Z^Y, respectively. It follows from the regenerative embedding theorem due to Bertoin (see [2, Theorem 1]) that ϕ^Y/ϕ^X is a completely monotone function. □

Examples 3.2. Consider two reflected Bessel processes on $[0,\infty)$: $X_t^{(\alpha)}, X_t^{(\beta)}$, determined by local generators:

$$\mathcal{L}^{(\alpha)} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{1-2\alpha}{2x}\frac{d}{dx};$$
$$\mathcal{L}^{(\beta)} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{1-2\beta}{2x}\frac{d}{dx},$$

where $0 < \beta < \alpha < 1$ and $X_0^{(\alpha)} \le X_0^{(\beta)}$. Denote by $S_t^{(\alpha)}, S_t^{(\beta)}$ the inverse local times at 0, and $\phi^{(\alpha)}, \phi^{(\beta)}$ their Laplace exponents.

Since $\frac{1-2\alpha}{2x} < \frac{1-2\beta}{2x}$ for $x > 0$, apply the classic comparison theorem for one-dimensional SDE and Lemma 3.1, $\phi^{(\beta)}/\phi^{(\alpha)}$ is completely monotone.

On the other hand, as we know from [15], $S_t^{(\alpha)}, S_t^{(\beta)}$ are α- and β-stable subordinators, respectively. Since $\phi^{(\alpha)}(\lambda) = c_\alpha \lambda^\alpha$, $\phi^{(\beta)}(\lambda) = c_\beta \lambda^\beta$, $\phi^{(\beta)}(\lambda)/\phi^{(\alpha)}(\lambda) = (c_\beta/c_\alpha)\lambda^{\beta-\alpha}$ is indeed completely monotone in λ.

In general one can not conclude that the inverse local time at 0 of Y is dominated by that of X. Indeed, there is no monotonicity between α-stable and β-stable subordinators, as there is no monotonicity between their Laplace exponents. However we have the following comparison theorem for inverse local times.

Theorem 3.3. *Suppose X_t and Y_t defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ are reflected diffusions on $[0,\infty)$, determined by the local generator*

$$\mathcal{L}^X = \frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + b(x)\frac{d}{dx};$$
$$\mathcal{L}^Y = \frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + B(x)\frac{d}{dx}.$$

Let S_t^X and S_t^Y be the corresponding inverse local times at 0, respectively. Suppose $f(x) = B(x) - b(x) \ge 0$ satisfies the condition

$$\sup_{x>0} \mathbb{E}_x\left[\int_0^T |f(X_t)|^2 dt\right] < \infty, \quad \text{for any fixed time } T > 0. \tag{3.2}$$

Then stochastically, $S_t^X \le S_t^Y$ for all $t \ge 0$.

Proof. We first define a Girsanov transform between X_t and Y_t,

$$\left.\frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}}\right|_{\mathcal{F}_t} = \exp\left[\int_0^t f(X_s)dB_s - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t f^2(X_s)ds\right].$$

Note that due to condition (3.2), by [5, Theorem 3.2], the right hand side of the above is a uniformly integrable martingale. Thus with Z^X, Z^Y as zero sets, we have the relations

$$(X_t, \mathbb{Q}) \stackrel{d}{=} (Y_t, \mathbb{P}) \Rightarrow (Z^X, \mathbb{Q}) \stackrel{d}{=} (Z^Y, \mathbb{P}). \tag{3.3}$$

In other words, under $\mathbb{Q}$, X_t can be viewed as Y_t. This leads to the same properties for zero sets of X_t and Y_t.

Now let L_t^X be a choice of the local time for X_t at 0 such that L_t^X satisfies (cf. Theorem X.2. in [14])

$$\mathbb{E}_x\left[\int_t^\infty e^{-s}dL_s^X \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right] = \mathbb{E}_x\big[e^{-T_0\circ\theta_t}|\mathcal{F}_t\big], \tag{3.4}$$

where T_0 is the first hitting time at 0 for X_t. We claim that $M_t \triangleq \{e^{-T_0}\mathbf{1}_{\{T_0\le t\}} - \int_0^t e^{-s}dL_s^X; t \ge 0\}$ is a $\mathbb{P}$-martingale with respect to the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t; t \ge 0\}$. This is because for every $t \ge r$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_x[M_t|\mathcal{F}_r] =& M_r + \mathbb{E}_x\left[e^{-T}\mathbf{1}_{\{r<T\le t\}} - \int_r^t e^{-s}dL_s^X \middle| \mathcal{F}_r\right] \\
=& M_r + \mathbb{E}_x\left[e^{-T}\mathbf{1}_{\{r<T\le t\}} - \int_r^t e^{-s}dL_s^X \middle| \mathcal{F}_r\right] + \mathbb{E}_x\left[e^{-T\circ\theta_t} - \int_t^\infty e^{-s}dL_s^X \middle| \mathcal{F}_r\right] \\
=& M_r + \mathbb{E}_x\left[e^{-T\circ\theta_r} - \int_r^\infty e^{-s}dL_s^X \middle| \mathcal{F}_r\right] \\
=& M_r,
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is due to (3.4). This proves the claim that $\{M\}_t$ is a martingale with respect to $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{\{t\ge0\}}$. Clearly, it is purely discontinuous martingale of finite variation.

Applying the same Girsanov transform to M_t, $M_t - [M, \int_0^\cdot f(X_s)dB_s]_t$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-martingale. Since M_t is a purely discontinuous martingale of finite variation and $\int_0^t f(X_s)dB_s$ is continuous, $[M, \int_0^\cdot f(X_s)dB_s]_t = 0$, M_t is a $\mathbb{Q}$-martingale as well. Thus,

$$\mathbb{E}_x^{\mathbb{Q}}[e^{-T_0}\mathbf{1}_{\{T_0\le t\}}] = \mathbb{E}_x^{\mathbb{Q}}\left[\int_0^t e^{-s}dL_s^X\right]$$

By letting $t \to \infty$, one can have

$$\mathbb{E}_x^{\mathbb{Q}}[e^{-T_0}] = \mathbb{E}_x^{\mathbb{Q}}\left[\int_0^\infty e^{-s}dL_s^X\right].$$

Thus, we get the relation that $(L_t^X, \mathbb{Q}) \stackrel{d}{=} (L_t^Y, \mathbb{P})$.

Fristedt-Pruitt showed in [9] there exists an increasing function g such that

$$g\text{-}m(S^X[0,t]) = t,$$

where the left hand side represents the Hausdorff measure of the range of S^X on the time interval $[0,t]$ with respect to the function g. Since the closure of the range for S^X is Z^X, it follows that $g\text{-}m(Z^X \cap [0,t]) = L_t^X$, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. and

$$(g\text{-}m(Z^X \cap [0,t]); \mathbb{Q}) = (L_t^X, \mathbb{Q}) \stackrel{d}{=} (L_t^Y, \mathbb{P}).$$

Also, by the classic comparison theorem, $X_t \leq Y_t$ almost surely for all t, we have $Z^X \supset Z^Y$, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. Together with (3.3),

$$\begin{aligned}(L_t^Y, \mathbb{P}) = (g\text{-}m(Z^X \cap [0,t]); \mathbb{Q}) =& (g\text{-}m(Z^Y \cap [0,t]); \mathbb{P}) \\ \leq& (g\text{-}m(Z^X \cap [0,t]); \mathbb{P}) \\ =& (L_t^X, \mathbb{P})\end{aligned}$$

The conclusion of the theorem now follows. □

Denote by μ_X and μ_Y the Lévy measure for the subordinators S_t^X and S_t^Y, respectively. The following is a comparison theorem on Lévy measures.

Theorem 3.4. *Suppose X_t and Y_t are reflected diffusions on $[0,\infty)$ as in Theorem 3.3, ϕ^X and ϕ^Y are the Laplace exponents of inverse local times, respectively. Then $\phi^Y - \phi^X \geq 0$ is completely monotone and, consequently, $\mu_X \leq \mu_Y$.*

Proof. Applying Theorem 3.3, we have $S_t^X \leq S_t^Y$, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. and so $0 \leq \phi^X \leq \phi^Y$.
On the other hand, since $b(x) \leq B(x)$, by Lemma 3.1, ϕ^Y/ϕ^X is completely monotone. Combining the two facts, we see that

$$\frac{\phi^Y}{\phi^X} - 1 \geq 0 \text{ is completely monotone.}$$

Since completely monotone relation is preserved under multiplication (check details in Chapter 1, [16]), we would have

$$\phi^Y - \phi^X = \left(\frac{\phi^Y}{\phi^X} - 1\right)\phi^X \geq 0 \text{ is completely monotone.}$$

This says that $\phi^Y - \phi^X$ is the Laplace exponent of some subordinator Z. Hence S^Y has the same distribution as the independent sum of two subordinators S^X and Z. Denote by ν the Lévy measure for Z. It follows then $\mu_Y - \mu_X = \nu \geq 0$. □

4 Properties of non-local operators

We use the same notations as in Example 3.2. For $0 < \alpha < 1$, let $X_t^{(\alpha)}$ is a reflected Bessel process on $[0,\infty)$ with the local generator

$$\mathcal{L}^{(\alpha)} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{1-2\alpha}{2x}\frac{d}{dx}.$$

As we noted earlier, the inverse local time $S_t^{(\alpha)}$ is an α-stable subordinator, with the Laplace exponent

$$\phi^{(\alpha)}(\lambda) = c_\alpha \lambda^\alpha. \tag{4.1}$$

We know from Theorem 2.1 that under the new probability measure $\mathbb{Q}$ defined by (2.3), the inverse local time of the Girsanov transformed diffusion, $X_t^{(\alpha,m)}$, is a relativistic α-stable subordinator, with the Laplace exponent

$$\phi^{(\alpha,m)}(\lambda) = \phi^{(\alpha)}(\lambda+m) - \phi^{(\alpha)}(m) = c_\alpha\big((\lambda+m)^\alpha - m^\alpha\big). \tag{4.2}$$

The new reflected diffusion $X_t^{(\alpha,m)}$ on $[0,\infty)$ has generator

$$\mathcal{L}^{(\alpha,m)} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \left(\frac{1-2\alpha}{2x} + \frac{\rho_m'(x)}{\rho_m(x)}\right)\frac{d}{dx}, \text{ for } x>0, \tag{4.3}$$

where $\rho_m(x) := \mathbb{E}_x\left[\exp(-mT_0)\right]$ with T_0 being the first hitting time of 0 by $X^{(\alpha)}$. By Remark 2.2, $\rho_m(x)$ is the unique solution to

$$\begin{cases} (\mathcal{L}^{(\alpha)} - m)\rho(x) = 0, \\ \rho(0) = 1;\ \rho(\infty) = 0. \end{cases}$$

It is know from ODE,

$$\rho_m(x) = \widehat{c}_\alpha \widehat{K}_\alpha(\sqrt{2m}x),$$

where $\widehat{c}_\alpha$ is a normalizing constant depending on α only, $\widehat{K}_\alpha = x^\alpha K_\alpha$ and

$$K_\alpha(x) = \frac{\pi}{2}\frac{I_{-\alpha}(x) - I_\alpha(x)}{\sin(\alpha\pi)}, \tag{4.4}$$

where

$$I_\alpha(x) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{1}{n!\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2n+\alpha}.$$

The function I_α is a solution to the following modified Bessel's equation

$$x^2u''(x) + xu'(x) - (x^2+\alpha^2)u = 0.$$

Clearly, K_α also satisfies the above equation, and is called a modified Bessel function of the second kind.

Examples 4.1. Suppose $\alpha = 0.5$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} K_{0.5}(x) =& \frac{\pi}{2}\sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(\frac{x}{2})^{2n}}{n!}\left[\frac{(\frac{x}{2})^{-0.5}}{\Gamma(0.5+n)} - \frac{(\frac{x}{2})^{0.5}}{\Gamma(1.5+n)}\right] \\ =& \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2x}}\sum_{n=0}^\infty \left[\frac{(\frac{x}{2})^{2n}}{n!\Gamma(0.5+n)} - \frac{(\frac{x}{2})^{2n+1}}{n!\Gamma(1.5+n)}\right] \\ =& \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2x}}\sum_{n=0}^\infty \left[\frac{x^{2n}}{\Gamma(0.5)(2n)!} - \frac{x^{2n+1}}{\Gamma(0.5)(2n+1)!}\right] \\ =& \frac{\pi e^{-x}}{\Gamma(0.5)\sqrt{2x}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus for $\alpha = 0.5$,

$$\rho_m(x) = \frac{\pi}{\Gamma(0.5)\sqrt{2}} \exp(-\sqrt{2m}x).$$

Consequently, we have the perturbation part as

$$\frac{\rho_m'(x)}{\rho_m(x)} = -\sqrt{2m}.$$

Hence if $X_t^{(0.5,m)}$ is a reflected process on $[0,\infty)$ with the local generator

$$\mathcal{L}^{(0.5,m)} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \sqrt{2m}\frac{d}{dx},$$

then its inverse local time at 0 is a relativistic Cauchy subordinator with the Laplace exponent

$$\phi^{(0.5,m)}(x) = c(\sqrt{\lambda + m} - \sqrt{m}).$$

Examples 4.2. *Now if we operate another Girsanov transform on $\mathcal{L}^{(\alpha,m)}$, that is*

$$\mathcal{L}^{(1)} = \mathcal{L}^{(\alpha,m)} + \frac{q_n'(x)}{q_n(x)}\frac{d}{dx},$$

where $q_n(x)$ is the unique solution to

$$\begin{cases} (\mathcal{L}^{(\alpha,m)} - n)q_n(x) = 0; \\ q_n(0) = 1,\ q_n(\infty) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then the inverse local time at 0, $S_t^{(1)}$, of the new reflecting diffusion generated by the above generator, has the Laplace exponent

$$\phi^{(1)}(\lambda) = \phi^{(\alpha,m)}(\lambda + n) - \phi^{(\alpha,m)}(n) = c_\alpha\big((\lambda + m + n)^\alpha - (m+n)^\alpha\big).$$

It can also be viewed as the Laplace exponent of a relativistic α-stable subordinator with mass $m+n$, which is obtained as the inverse local time at 0 for a reflecting diffusion determined locally by

$$\mathcal{L}^{(\alpha,m+n)} = \mathcal{L} + \frac{\rho_{m+n}'(x)}{\rho_{m+n}(x)}\frac{d}{dx}.$$

The two generators should be the same, so we get the relation

$$\frac{\rho_m'(x)}{\rho_m(x)} + \frac{q_n'(x)}{q_n(x)} = \frac{\rho_{m+n}'(x)}{\rho_{m+n}(x)}$$

Remark 4.3. *S. Watanabe [17] has defined a conservative diffusion process $\widetilde{X}_t$ on $[0,\infty)$, determined by the local generator in the same form*

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \left(\frac{1-2\alpha}{2x} + \frac{\rho_c'(x)}{\rho_c(x)}\right)\frac{d}{dx},$$

but with $\rho_c(x)$ as the unique solution to

$$\begin{cases}(\mathcal{L}^{(\alpha)}-c)\rho(x)=0;\\ \rho(0)=1,\ \rho'(0)=0.\end{cases}$$

Thus, the generator can be written as

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}u=\frac{1}{\rho_c}(\mathcal{L}^{(\alpha)}-c)(\rho_c\cdot u)(x),$$

and this yiels an explicit expression of the transition density for $X_t^{(\alpha,c)}$

$$\widetilde{p}(t,x,y)=\frac{e^{-mt}p^{(\alpha)}(t,x,y)}{\rho_c(x)\rho_c(y)},\ x,y\geq 0,$$

where $p^{(\alpha)}(t,x,y)$ is the transition density of the Bessel process $X_t^{(\alpha)}$ with respect to the measure $m^{(\alpha)}(dx)=x^{1-2\alpha}dx$.

We continue to discuss the reflecting diffusions, $X_t^{(\alpha,m)}$, which is locally determined by the generator (4.3). Consider the drift term $\frac{\rho_m'(x)}{\rho_m(x)}$, because $K_\alpha'(x)=-\frac{\alpha}{x}K_\alpha(x)-K_{\alpha-1}(x)$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\rho_m'(x)}{\rho_m(x)}=&\sqrt{2m}\frac{\widehat{K}_\alpha'(\sqrt{2m}x)}{\widehat{K}_\alpha(\sqrt{2m}x)}\\ =&\frac{\alpha}{x}+\sqrt{2m}\frac{K_\alpha'(\sqrt{2m}x)}{K_\alpha(\sqrt{2m}x)}\\ =&\frac{\alpha}{x}+\sqrt{2m}\frac{-\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2m}x}K_\alpha(\sqrt{2m}x)-K_{\alpha-1}(\sqrt{2m}x)}{K_\alpha(\sqrt{2m}x)}\\ =&-\sqrt{2m}\frac{K_{\alpha-1}(\sqrt{2m}x)}{K_\alpha(\sqrt{2m}x)}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.5}$$

We will focus on the asymptotic behaviors of $\frac{\rho_m'(x)}{\rho_m(x)}$ near 0 and ∞ and have the following lemma:

Lemma 4.4. *For $m\geq 0$, $0<\alpha<1$,*

$$\frac{\rho_m'(x)}{\rho_m(x)}=-\sqrt{2m}\frac{K_{\alpha-1}(\sqrt{2m}x)}{K_\alpha(\sqrt{2m}x)}\sim\begin{cases}-\frac{m^\alpha\Gamma(1-\alpha)}{2^{\alpha-1}\Gamma(\alpha)}x^{2\alpha-1}\ \text{as } x\to 0+;\\ -\sqrt{2m}\ \text{as } x\to\infty,\end{cases}\tag{4.6}$$

where $\sim$ means the ratio between two sides approaches 1 as x goes to $0+$ or ∞.

Proof. When $x\to 0+$ and $\nu\notin\mathbb{Z}$, $K_\nu(x)$ has the following series expansion:

$$\begin{aligned}K_\nu(x)\propto\frac{1}{2}\Bigg(&\Gamma(\nu)\Big(\frac{x}{2}\Big)^{-\nu}\Big(1+\frac{x^2}{4(1-\nu)}+\frac{x^4}{32(1-\nu)(2-\nu)}+\cdots\Big)\\ &+\Gamma(-\nu)\Big(\frac{x}{2}\Big)^{\nu}\Big(1+\frac{x^2}{4(\nu+1)}+\frac{x^4}{32(\nu+1)(\nu+2)}+\cdots\Big)\Bigg).\end{aligned}$$

Since $0 < \alpha < 1$, $\alpha, \alpha - 1 \notin \mathbb{Z}$ in (4.5), as $x \to 0+$,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\rho_m'(x)}{\rho_m(x)} &\sim -\sqrt{2m}\frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)\Big(\frac{\sqrt{2m}x}{2}\Big)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Big(\frac{\sqrt{2m}x}{2}\Big)^{-\alpha}} \\ &\sim -\frac{m^\alpha\Gamma(1-\alpha)}{2^{\alpha-1}\Gamma(\alpha)}x^{2\alpha-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

When $x \to \infty$, $K_\nu(x)$ can be described as the following formula:

$$K_\nu(x) \propto \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}\frac{e^{-x}}{\sqrt{x}}\Big(1 + O\Big(\frac{1}{x}\Big)\Big).$$

The asymptotic behavior near ∞ is independent of the index ν. Thus, as $x \to \infty$

$$\frac{\rho_m'(x)}{\rho_m(x)} \sim -\sqrt{2m}. \tag{4.8}$$

□

We are now in the position to present the proof for Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Y_t is a reflecting diffusion process, determined by the local generator

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \left(\frac{1-2\alpha}{2x} - f(x)\right)\frac{d}{dx},$$

and there exists a constant c_1 such that

$$0 \le f(x) \le c_1(1 \wedge x)^{2\alpha-1}.$$

Now we check the condition (3.2) in Theorem 3.3, $f(x)$ is bounded when $1/2 \le \alpha < 1$, so the condition is naturally satisfied. When $0 < \alpha < 1/2$, for a fixed $T > 0$, with $p^{(\alpha)}(t, x, y)$ representing the transition density of a Bessel process of index α with respect to the measure $m^{(\alpha)}(dx) = 2x^{1-2\alpha}dx$,

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{x>0}\mathbb{E}_x\left[\int_0^T |f(X_t^{(\alpha)})|^2\mathrm{d}t\right] \le& c_1\sup_{x>0}\int_{(0,\infty)}\left(\int_0^T p^{(\alpha)}(t,x,y)(1 \vee y^{4\alpha-2})dt\right)m^{(\alpha)}(dy) \\ \le& c_1T + c_1\sup_{x>0}\int_0^1\left(\int_0^T \frac{x^\alpha y^{3\alpha-1}}{t}\exp\left(-\frac{x^2+y^2}{2t}\right)I_{-\alpha}\Big(\frac{xy}{t}\Big)dt\right)dy \\ =& c_1T + c_1\sup_{x>0}\int_0^1\int_{xy/T}^\infty \frac{x^\alpha y^{3\alpha-1}}{s}\exp\left(-\frac{(x^2+y^2)s}{2xy}\right)I_{-\alpha}(s)dsdy \\ \le& c_1T + c_1\sup_{x>0}\int_0^1 x^\alpha y^{3\alpha-1}\left(\int_{xy/T}^\infty \frac{e^{-s}}{s}I_{-\alpha}(s)ds\right)dy,\end{aligned}$$

where $I_{-\alpha}(s)$ is the modified Bessel function of the first kind. Then

$$I_{-\alpha}(s) \propto \begin{cases}\frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}\Big(\frac{s}{2}\Big)^{-\alpha}\Big(1 + \frac{s^2}{4(1-\alpha)} + \frac{s^4}{32(1-\alpha)(2-\alpha)} + \cdots\Big), & s \to 0; \\ \frac{e^s}{\sqrt{2\pi s}}\Big(1 + O\Big(\frac{1}{s}\Big)\Big), & s \to \infty.\end{cases}$$

Since we have $0 < y < 1$, by the above asymptotic behavior

$$\int_{xy/T}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-s}}{s} I_{-\alpha}(s)ds \text{ is dominated by } C_\alpha T^\alpha (xy)^{-\alpha} \text{ as } x \to 0+; \text{ by } C_\alpha T^{1/2}(xy)^{-1/2} \text{ as } x \to \infty.$$

We would then get for $0 < \alpha < 1/2$

$$\sup_{x>0} \mathbb{E}_x \left[\int_0^T |f(X_t^{(\alpha)})|^2 \mathrm{d}t \right] < \infty.$$

Thus, one can set up a Girsanov transform between X_t and $X_t^{(\alpha)}$, or, X_t and $X_t^{(\alpha)}$ are absolutely continuous to each other. Applying Theorem 3.3, we have $S_t \le S_t^{(\alpha)}$, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s.

For any $0 < \alpha < 1$, from the asymptotic behaviors of $\frac{\rho_m'(x)}{\rho_m(x)}$ near 0 and ∞ shown in (4.6), we can always choose a proper value of m such that

$$c_1 \le \sqrt{2m} \wedge \frac{m^\alpha \Gamma(1-\alpha)}{2^{\alpha-1}\Gamma(\alpha)},$$

consequently,

$$0 \le f(x) \le -\frac{\rho_m'(x)}{\rho_m(x)}, \ m \text{ depends on } c_1.$$

Thus, by the classic Comparison theorem,

$$X_t^{(\alpha,m)} \le Y_t \le X_t^{(\alpha)}, \ \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.} \tag{4.9}$$

By Theorem 2.1, $X_t^{(\alpha)}$ and $X_t^{(\alpha,m)}$ are absolutely continuous to each other. Thus, Y_t and $X_t^{(\alpha,m)}$ are absolutely continuous to each other, i.e., there exists a Girsanov transform between them. Applying Theorem 3.3 again, $S_t^{(\alpha,m)} \le S_t$, $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. □

To prove Theorem 1.2, we first recall the following result from Grzywny-Ryznar [10] (with slightly different notation here).

Theorem 4.5. [10, Theorem 1.1] *Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a bounded Lipschitz open set. Suppose that Y_t is a symmetric Lévy process on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with Lévy measure $\nu(x)dx$. Denote by $\nu^{(\alpha)}(x)$ the Lévy density for the isotropic α-stable process Z on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Denote by G_D and $G_D^{(\alpha)}$ the Green functions of Y and Z in D, respectively. Assume that $j(x) = \nu^{(\alpha)}(x) - \nu(x) \ge 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$, and that $j(x) \le c|x|^{\rho-d}$ for $|x| \le 1$, where $c, \rho > 0$. Then there exists a constant $C = C(d, \alpha, D, \rho, c)$, such that*

$$C^{-1} G_D^{(\alpha)}(x,y) \le G_D(x,y) \le C G_D^{(\alpha)}(x,y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in D.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Denote the Laplace exponents of S_t, $S_t^{(\alpha)}$, $S_t^{(\alpha,m)}$, by $\phi(\lambda)$, $\phi^{(\alpha)}(\lambda)$, $\phi^{(\alpha,m)}(\lambda)$, respectively. Now applying Theorem 3.4,

$$\phi(\lambda) - \phi^{(\alpha,m)}(\lambda) \text{ and } \phi^{(\alpha)}(\lambda) - \phi(\lambda) \text{ are completely monotone.}$$

Denote $\nu^{(\alpha,m)}, \nu, \nu^{(\alpha)}$ as Lévy measures of inverse local times respectively, then

$$\nu^{(\alpha)} - \nu \geq 0;\ \nu - \nu^{(\alpha,m)} \geq 0$$

and for any $0 < \alpha < 1$, $t > 0$,

$$0 \leq (\nu^{(\alpha)} - \nu)(t) \leq (\nu^{(\alpha)} - \nu^{(\alpha,m)})(t) \leq c_\alpha \frac{1 - e^{-mt}}{t^{\alpha+1}}. \tag{4.10}$$

Thus, for $|x| \leq 1$, the difference between Lévy measures of trace processes, $B_{S_t}, B_{S_t^{(\alpha)}}$, would be

$$\begin{aligned}
j(x) =& c_\alpha \int_0^\infty (4\pi t)^{-d/2} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}} (\nu^{(\alpha)} - \nu)(t) dt \\
=& c_\alpha \int_0^\infty (4\pi t)^{-d/2} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}} \frac{1 - e^{-mt}}{t^{\alpha+1}} dt \\
\leq& c_\alpha \int_0^\infty (4\pi t)^{-d/2} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4t}} \frac{mt}{t^{\alpha+1}} dt \\
=& c_\alpha \pi m^{-d/2} 4^{\alpha-1} |x|^{-d+2-2\alpha} \int_0^\infty s^{d/2+\alpha-2} e^{-s} ds \\
\leq& C|x|^{-d+2-2\alpha},
\end{aligned}$$

where $C = C(\alpha, m, d, c_\alpha)$. The second to the last equality is obtained by doing change of variables $s = |x|^2/(4t)$. From the proof of Theorem 1.1, m is fixed once given a $f(x)$.

Applying Theorem 4.5 with $\rho = 2 - 2\alpha > 0$, we conclude that there exists a constant $C_1 = C(d, \alpha, D, C)$ such that

$$C_1^{-1} G_D^{(2\alpha)}(x, y) \leq G_D(x, y) \leq C_1 G_D^{(2\alpha)}(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in D$. □

Acknowledgement. We thank P. J. Fitzsimmons, M. M. Meerschaert and Z. Vondracek for helpful discussions.

References

[1] R. Bass, Diffusions and Elliptic Operators, *Springer*(1998)

[2] J. Bertoin, Regenerative embedding of Markov sets, *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **108** (1997) 559-571.

[3] L. Caffarelli and L. Silvestre, An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian, *Communications in Partial Differential Equations,* **32:8** (2007), 1245-1260.

[4] R. Carmona, W.C. Masters, B. Simon, Relativistic Schrödinger operators: asymptotic behavior of the eigenvalues, *J. Funct. Anal.* **91** (1990) 117-142.

[5] Z.-Q. Chen, Uniform integrability of exponential martingales and spectral bounds of non-local FeynmanKac semigroups, *Stochastic Analysis and Applications to Finance, Interdiscip. Math. Sci., vol.***13** (2012).

[6] C. Donati-Martin and M. Yor, Some explicit Krein representations of certain subordinators, including the Gamma process, *arXiv:math/0503254 [math.PR]* (2005).

[7] C. Donati-Martin and M. Yor, Further examples of explicit Krein representations of certain subordinators, *arXiv:math/0509041 [math.PR]* (2005).

[8] F. Esscher, On the probability function in the collective theory of risk, *Scandinavisk Aktuarietidskrift* **15** (1932).

[9] B. E. Fristedt and W. E. Pruitt, Lower Functions for Increasing Random Walks and Subordinators, *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Geb.* **18** (1971) 167-182.

[10] T. Grzywny and M. Ryznar, Estimates of Green Functions for some Perturbations of Fractional Laplacian, *Illinois Journal of Mathematics.* **51** (2007) 1409-1438.

[11] K. Itô and H. P. Mckean, *Diffusion Processes and Their Sample Paths*. Springer, Grundlehren Math. Wiss. **125**, 1974.

[12] F. B. Knight, Characterization of the Lévy measures of inverse local times of gap diffusion, *Seminar on Stochastic processes, 1981 Prog. Prob. Statis.* **1** (1981), 53-78.

[13] S. Kotani and S. Watanabe, Krein's spectral theory of strings and generalized diffusion processes, *Functional Analysis in Markov processes (Katata/Kyoto 1981)* (1982) 235-259.

[14] B. Maisonneuve, Systèmes régénératifs, *Société Mathématique de France, Astérisque* **15** (1974).

[15] M. A. Molchanov and E. Ostrovskii, Symmetric Stable Processes as Traces of Degenerate Diffusion Processes, *Theor. Prob. App.* **14** (1969) 128-131.

[16] R. L. Schilling, R. Song and Z. Vondraček, Bernstein functions, *de Gruyter studies in Mathematics* **37** (2010).

[17] S. Watanabe, On Time Inversion of One-Dimensional Diffusion Processes, *Z. Wahrsch. verw. Geb.* **31** (1975) 115-124.

Department of Mathematics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, USA
Email: `zqchen@uw.edu`
Email: `lidanw@uw.edu`